

Identity

£1.95
April 2004
Issue 43



£1.95
23rd
December 2004
Issue 50

SANTA SAYS:

£1.95
December 2003
Issue 39

Two Parties, C...

£1.95
May 2004
Issue 44



Anti-Social Behaviour:

Reasons & Remedies



Celebr...

St. G...

Magazine of

Identity

Magazine of the British

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

Magazine of the



Freedom

Magazine of the British

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

Magazine of the

Identity

"If Win...

can Spr...

behind?

Magazine of the



£1.95
January 2004
Issue 40



£1.95
October 2004
Issue 48



£1.95
March 2004
Issue 46



December's News

■ East European Migrants Seven Times More Than Forecast

Nearly 91,000 people from the eight former Soviet-bloc states that joined the EU have registered for work in Britain in the five months from May. This figure is more than seven times that forecast by Labour ministers when they tried to fool us by saying it would be between 5,000 and 13,000 per year. Most other EU nations restricted the number allowed in - but not Britain. Blunkett's feeble excuse for this further proof that the government's so-called immigration policy is a shambles was that it "showed that the worker registration scheme is operating effectively".

The quadrupling of work permits from the rest of the world to 175,000 a year is all part of New Labour's con-trick so that it can tell the voters: "Look, we have reduced the number of asylum seekers, so you don't have to vote for those nasty BNP people".

Some might say, with good reason, that Poles and people from the Baltic states can easily integrate within the British population, unlike Afro-Asians. The point is that England and Wales is now the second most densely populated country in the world: we are full up, whether they are black, pink, white or brown.

■ School's Saint's Name Is 'Offensive'

The Muslim immigrants' favourite party, the Liberal Democrats, have excelled themselves in Islington, where they rule the local Council. They have told the St. Mary Magdalene Church of England Primary School that it must drop the word "Saint" from its name in case it offends other religious groups.

The local Jewish community and also some Muslims have said they have no objections to the school being named after a Christian saint. But do-gooder Lib Dem spokesman James Kempton said: "We need to ensure this is a school which is appropriate for Islington in the 21st century".

We take it that Islington will now follow Stoke and Birmingham by banning all public reference to 'Christmas' at this time of year in case it offends other believers in our "exciting multiracial society".

■ Gambling Boss Gives £150,000 to Labour

It was puzzling why a 'Labour' Government should be pushing through a Parliamentary bill to give tax breaks that will allow big-time gambling casinos, many controlled by American companies, to open up in cities all over Britain. A bit beyond the pockets of the working man that Labour is supposed to represent.

A clue came with the news last month that a prominent betting tycoon, Peter Coates, gave the party £50,000 in July. Owner of a chain of betting shops across Cheshire and the Midlands, he pledged £100,000 to Labour in 1999 and has also given substantial donations through companies that he controls.

■ Britons Leave As Immigrants Arrive

Latest figures from the Office For National Statistics show that 191,000 British citizens left the country last year to live elsewhere. However, about 513,000 people arrived from abroad to stay for more than a year. Of these, 236,000 were non-British, compared with 107,000 in 1997 when New Labour took office. As on average it takes only about two years for most immigrants to get on the voting list, and they then vote Labour overwhelmingly, if we don't get rid of this Government pretty soon, we never will.

■ Now Car Workers' Jobs Go To China

The *London Evening Standard* of 21.10.04 reported that German carmaker Volkswagen, seeking a pay freeze with the unions for two years,

already produces more cars in China than in Europe. Its plants there and in eastern Europe, together with those of parts suppliers, "are the way of the future", say analysts.

DaimlerChrysler is among other companies threatening to relocate. General Motors has decided to slash 10,000 jobs in Germany as part of a Europe-wide bid to staunch losses.

All parties, including the socialists, have rejected protection of jobs and accepted the dictates of globalisation, except the BNP in Britain and the National Democratic Party (NPD) in Germany.

■ Illegal Immigrant Murders Doncaster Girl

Mudadiwa Chinyoka, an illegal immigrant from Zimbabwe, was found guilty in Doncaster of murdering 17-year-old Gemma Atkinson from Hexthorpe. He admitted carrying out a brutal sex attack on her before beating her to death. She had injuries to 48 different areas of her body when found by the police next to the beginnings of a make-shift grave in Chinyoka's cellar.

Chinyoka entered the UK in 1997 and the Immigration Service was unsure of his real age or name. The girl's father demanded to know why he was therefore not deported long ago. One could also ask how come he was given a flat and was still receiving benefits?

Identity

Editor: John Bean
December 2004

Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 907,
Hull, HU6 9WY
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please Note that we receive more material than we can print.

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.

PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

EU Dictatorship Looms

According to most opinion polls the question of whether we remain in, or withdraw from, the European Union is a big turn-off for a large sector of the British public. It is some way down their list of political priorities and of less concern than immigration, crime, and the failing Health Service. This is a pity, because not only does the power of the EU constitute the most serious threat to our independence since 1066 but it also has major effects upon all the other issues which concern the British voter.

What has brought the machinations of the EU into the forefront again is the row that erupted over the attempt to appoint Rocco Buttiglione from Italy as the new European Commissioner for justice and home affairs. To get to the bottom of the sorry tale of how Europe's liberal-left MEPs, aided by the unelected Brussels 'commissars', prevented Buttiglione from taking up this post, we have to go back to August this year.

In an interview with Reuters news agency he said: "People seeking asylum for economic reasons is a growing problem. It's a time bomb". He repeated his calls for transit countries around Europe's borders to set up holding camps, including in North Africa, for prospective immigrants. "The camps would take in immigrants who, for example, arrive from sub-Saharan Africa, to offer them humanitarian aid and information about job possibilities in Europe," he said. "But they would also investigate, identify and send back those who don't meet the criteria or who would not be able to integrate."

All good common sense, one might think, but now fast forward two months to early October. It was then revealed that Buttiglione, a philosophy professor and a strong supporter of the Pope, decried homosexual marriages and opposed the concept of women having children outside of marriage being an alternative life-style, which he emphasised were his private views. Nevertheless, the liberal-left immediately twisted this as indicating that he would not give fair justice to homosexuals or women. Additionally, they increased their accusations that his proposals to hold would-be immigrants in camps outside of Europe would amount to 'racism'.

Aided by most of Europe's media, the liberal-left, supported by the Greens and the Communists, forced the show-down in the Strasbourg EU Parliament on October 27th that resulted in the new Commission President, Jose Manuel Barroso, withdrawing all of his proposed team of new European commissioners. This was greeted with a childish pantomime of whooping, jeering, cheering, desk banging and name calling, not because it meant that the whole team would eventually be dropped, but because they had nailed the right-wing Buttiglione.

It is important to look at the records of the other members of the Commission (most of whom were eventually elected): seven were former Communists. These included Barroso himself, when he was a young Communist in his native Portugal, and Tony Blair's very own long term friend Peter Mandelson when he was also young and 'beautiful'.

The rest of the former Commissars who became Commissioners include Siim Kallas, the Estonian candidate, who was a Communist Party member for 28

years, deserting it only when the Soviet Union was falling apart. Another is Laszlo Kovacs, who was one of the leaders of the Hungarian Communist Party. He spent the 1980s denouncing Nato aggression and praising the USSR, and even now he refuses to apologise for much of what the Communists did.

People can change their political opinions and be forgiven for their previous sins (unless, of course, they happen to be BNP members!) But as Daniel Hannan, a Conservative MEP, said in an article in the *Sunday Telegraph* (31st October 2004): "...We are dealing not with distant inheritors of an anti-democratic tradition but with men and women who were themselves active supporters of totalitarian regimes. Yet for some reason, this is all right..... A lifetime spent within a police state can lead to a certain way of thinking. In particular, it is often difficult for former apparatchiks to accept that the voters are now the bosses".

EU Acts Like a Soviet Commissariat

This unelected Commission, which acts daily like an old-style Soviet Commissariat, has already shown that it is contemptuous of democracy, heedless of its own rules and intolerant of dissent. Of its 25 members 19 have said that they intend to start implementing the EU Constitution at once, without waiting for the national referendums. And it is very much a concern of the British electorate.

Tony Blair, with full Lib Dem backing, has already agreed to a number of its far-reaching measures to give the EU a full 'justice' machinery, with full power over our own courts and power for the Euro police to arrest any national who infringes the new laws, including so-called 'race hate' crimes. With full backing from his Home Secretary, David Blunkett, last month he acceded to the long-standing plans to abolish the national veto on asylum and immigration by next spring. Blair and Blunkett - with hardly a word of condemnation from the Tories - are leading the creation of a European police state. They are driving forward the formation of an internal security system in Britain outside of any parliamentary control and posing a mortal threat to civil liberties.

This is why the stand by the British National Party, working with other fellow European nationalists to destroy the EU, is so important.



John Bean

Editor



Closing A Dangerous Gap

Nick Griffin says that the British National Party needs a mechanism whereby "the people who make things tick" can influence the future direction of the party

One of the more unusual features of the BNP's Constitution is the fact that all policy decisions are solely the responsibility of the National Chairman. True, the duly elected party leader cannot formulate policies which step outside the boundaries set by the six points enshrined in the Constitution's opening Statement of Principles, but apart from that restriction he can make it all up as he goes along.

This has been the case ever since the British National Party was founded in 1982. In those early years in particular it made a fair bit of sense. A tiny body of activists without so much as a sniff of even local political power could get on with the job of recruiting new members on a handful of key issues, feeling little need for intense ideological debate or detailed policy forums.

In any case, everyone who was anyone knew pretty much everybody else, and good new recruits were so relatively rare that each one could be inculcated with the party's worldview at a leisurely pace without any real risk of serious disagreement or of missing any boats. Despite all the rhetoric about "time running out for our Race and Nation" there were no deadlines and no practical sense of urgency.

The other factor militating against any idea of giving a wider body of party members any say at all in formulating policy was the opposition of the BNP's founding leader to ideological development. This stemmed from his belief that it was unnecessary, since he wanted the membership to be loyal to the leader personally, rather than to a set of principles and policies.

Having got into this unideological

habit of relying on one man to do their thinking, party members have tended, if the subject has come up at all, to justify it as a 'good thing' on the basis that "if the membership made policy we might one day be swamped by a sudden influx of ex-Conservatives who would turn us into a new Tory party."

The problem with this position is that, while it has indeed identified a grave potential future threat, its 'defence' against that problem actually increases the risk of it occurring. There are three reasons for this:

First there is the fact that the free speech era, in which the politics of the Western world were - despite the antics of the occasional mob of silly leftist students - essentially democratic and peaceful, is now at an end. From here on in, parties such as ours, which threaten the very existence of the multiracial fantasy which is the New Establishment's ultimate sacred cow, will face steadily increasing repression from the Powers That Be.

HYENAS

The people running the Labour party, the BBC and the upper echelons of institutions such as the police, the banks and the Home Office, are no longer fluffy liberal airheads. The people in charge now are the would-be Marxist revolutionaries of 1968, and such hyenas do not change their spots.

They have dropped their economic egalitarianism not only because they now have their snouts in the trough, but also because they have come to realise that rather than resisting the forces of capitalist globalisation they can hitch a ride and use them to

destroy the family, accepted sexual norms, tradition, the nations of the West, and the culture and race which created these things.

True, globalisation is particularly tough on the working class, but these people never actually gave a damn about the true interests of the workers in any case, seeing them merely as the shock troops whose sacrifice would give them the power they craved. Now the left have found new allies - militant homosexuals, Big Business, the bureaucracy of Welfarism, ethnic minorities and Islam - the frankly 'reactionary' workers can go to hell as far as they are concerned.

And, since the '68 generation are now in charge of most of our society, so can freedom of speech, freedom of assembly and the right to work to change things, whether through the ballot box or by demonstrations. The most shocking thing about Home Secretary David Blunkett's statement on national radio that he would like to be able to ban the BNP wasn't that he said it, or even that his Civil Contingencies Bill will give him that power, but the fact that not one member of the ruling class (which includes the phoney opposition in the LibDem and Tory parties) in what still purports to be a democracy felt the need to express concern about his totalitarian desires.

The fact that this anti-democratic mindset is already so entrenched makes it inevitable that the reaction of our leftist masters to increased popular opposition to their genocidal Genetically Modified Britain project will be increasingly vicious repression against those who lead and focus that opposition.

Similarly, it guarantees that the disturbances and tragedies which will take place with increasing frequency as their multi-culti 'experiment' begins to break down under the weight of its own contradictions, will not lead to a commonsense U-turn, but rather to a search for scapegoats among the majority community.

Under such circumstances alone, the habit of relying on one leader - or even on a small leadership team - to understand, formulate and express our ideology is clearly a dangerous one. It isn't just a matter of the risk of the leadership being suddenly thrown into Belmarsh nick and held effectively incommunicado. Lack of ideological understanding among some local officials and key activists would also leave them vulnerable to a breakdown in their commitment if the persecution extended to them as well.

Quite simply, if someone is motivated to be involved in the BNP primarily by the fact that the presence of asylum seekers or Islamic gangs in their area has caused problems for their family or devalued their house, they are hardly well-placed to maintain their loyalty to the Cause if by so doing they find themselves jailed as prisoners of conscience, unable to see their children or pay the mortgage.

For evidence of the weakness of a

movement which recruits on essentially reactionary terms and neglects to inculcate its members with a positive and well-formed ideology, one only needs to compare the awful record of breakdown, collaboration, selfish criminality and religious conversions of many former Loyalists in prison in Northern Ireland, with the discipline, revolutionary fervour and capacity for self-sacrifice of their Republican counterparts.

Leave aside for a moment the question of which side you think is either right or wrong, or more or less repulsive, and look at which side is winning, and why. The typical IRA terrorist went to prison for ten years as a back street thug and came out with a law degree. His Loyalist counterpart more often went down for reacting against the murder of his cousin in the UDR, but emerged as a criminal or a Born Again, happy, clappy crank.

Why? Because the Republicans have a vision; true, they hate both the Brits and the Prods, and they have gleefully slaughtered our children, but they also have a positive set of ideas for building what they sincerely believe will be a better society. Ideology is the X-factor which allows them to spend years locked in cells and come out even more committed to their Cause than when they went in.

The second factor which makes the leader-as-ideology idea archaic and dangerous has reared its head in Holland - though the Salman Rushdie fatwa gave a very early indication that it will apply in Britain as well. With just over 5 per cent of its population officially Muslim (the real figure is probably double that), Holland has now seen the emergence of assassination as a weapon of Islamic political discourse.

This is no surprise whatsoever, the very word 'assassin' is of Arabic origin, and the West first came across the concept during the Crusades. Here are another bunch who do not change their spots, and whose claws will become sharper in the years ahead as they see the Islamification of Europe closer and closer to becoming a reality.

Pim Fortuyn was not, of course, anything like a genuine nationalist, but the way in which the anti-immigration coalition he had put together fell apart after his murder by a pro-Islamic leftist is a textbook example of the dangers of relying on one man for motivation, unity, policy and direction.


UKIP LACKS IDEOLOGY

The third problem is at this moment being experienced within the UK Independence Party. Although nominally run by an accountable leadership with scope for members to influence things at a national conference, UKIP's ideological and policy development has been deliberately stifled for years in order to avoid disagreements over other issues detracting the party from its sole purpose - getting Britain out of the EU.

As a result, there is not even agreement on what to put in its place, with different factions favouring everything from renegotiation through to Britain effectively becoming the 53rd State of America.

But those UKIP old-hands who thought that such refusal to address other issues would make them go away have now been given a horrible shock by Robert Kilroy-Silk, who is backed by the majority of the new members who joined during or immediately after the June election, as well as by everyone who has ever fallen out with the leadership.

This is an example of how the law that "Nature abhors a vacuum" applies in politics as much as in other fields.



PIM FORTUYN: Events highlighted the instability of a party based on one man's leadership



With no common, well-established set of ideological principles uniting its core or providing a focus above loyalty to individual personalities, UKIP was wide open to the leadership battle now raging. As a matter of fact, Kilroy-Silk's push to take over was so premature, with no advance effort to use his media-credibility and personal wealth to build a power base, that it will probably fail. But it still points up the essential weakness of a party without firm ideological foundations.

A further consequence of the lack of such a base has not become apparent to UKIP because its few local councillors are mainly in well-to-do rural areas where councillors are not called on to do much and because, since that party is no threat to the status quo, its elected representatives are not under close scrutiny from a hostile media. This is the fact that, in a non-ideological party, every councillor will come up with a different answer to a given problem.

This is clearly something the BNP cannot afford, and this alone is a pressing reason for us to undertake a major programme of ideological development and education. Only thus can we be confident that, nine times out of ten, a BNP councillor on Tyneside will come to the same, correct, conclusion about a given local problem as a BNP councillor in Plymouth.

But that is not the most important reason. Fast forward to the BNP in a few years time. A combination of internal organisational work, more effective campaigning and helpful external circumstances has led to a doubling of membership in months and to the recruitment of a well-heeled and well-known, if slightly controversial, figure from the edge of the Establishment - a Tory MP perhaps, or one of the top presenters from Radio 4.

A few months later, many of those new, mainly middle class, members are reeling under the impact of a series of exposes and smears from desperate TV stations, newspapers and other parties. And they have just discovered that, not only does English law not allow the party any effective recourse in law, but that they also have no mechanism whereby they - or anyone else in the party - can change any of the things (such as the ethnically restrictive membership criteria, the policy of restricting

imports, or the criticism of Zionist influence within the Establishment and its role in Britain's disaster in Iraq) which they believe are at the root of the hostility which is making their new political lives so hard, and which they have not yet had time to learn are fundamental to nationalism.

To such people, the thought of electing a new, high-profile, Kilroy-Silk-type leader, who would promise not only to ditch the 'personal' policies of his predecessor but also to give all the members a 'democratic' chance to decide future policies at an annual conference, "just like all the other parties", would be extremely attractive.

Under the BNP's present constitution such an individual would not be eligible to stand for the leadership for five years from joining, but nothing could stop a naïve or disaffected 'stalking horse' candidate standing on the simple platform "Vote for me and I'll call an EGM to allow you to change the constitution to allow our Respectable Knight in Shining Armour to become leader and give real power to the membership."

But while there is nothing at all wrong with a leadership contest between two or more genuine nationalists, each with a long track record and determined to ensure that the BNP remains a genuinely radical alternative to the System, a successful challenge by a phoney would be a disaster. But, sadly, not only would nearly all the new members fall for this one, but they would be joined by the inevitable small crew of malcontents who inflict themselves on every party and domino club in Creation.

And, in addition, there would - or at least should be - a number of perfectly decent individuals who had decided (as I have) that it is indeed ridiculous for one fallible and overworked individual to have the sole power and

responsibility of working out how a future BNP government would deal with every policy problem or opportunity in the highly complex society that is modern Britain.

Meanwhile, even some more established activists and officials - whose efforts took the party to take-off point and created this burst of growth - having not been involved in the development of the existing ideology and the policies that flow from it, may not by and large feel any particularly strong attachment to it. They may think that, if change can mollify the Johnnie-Come-Latelies, and make our opponents go easy on us, then why not? Only the old guard - by then numerically a tiny proportion of the party - would be concerned by the prospect of changes which go far beyond necessary cosmetic improvements and take us down the road travelled by the pigs in Animal Farm.


ANNUAL CONFERENCE?

It is unlikely that many readers will gainsay the three dangers outlined above. More difficult to settle, however, is going to be how to avoid or at least to minimise them. After all, the solution to the threat of a hoard of Tory refugees swamping the BNP by way of a leadership change at some stage in the future cannot possibly be to create a mechanism such as an Annual Conference of all members, whereby they could achieve their 'take-over' at any time without even rocking the boat.

Fortunately, this article is written more by way of starting a debate about the problem than of providing an instant solution to it. And it is important to grasp that several initiatives - such as the monthly production of the *FS/D* video magazine and the more in-depth *Vanguard* CD series, and the recent expansion of *ID* - have been made precisely in order to begin to provide a firmer ideological base, and to speed up the conversion of new recruits to committed nationalist cadres. The very fact of being aware of the danger, unlike those suckers in UKIP, gives us some protection from it.

But, that said, the broad outline of the best next step is this:

- ☐ The constitution should be changed to institute an annual National Conference. At this, motions





NOT LISTENING: Blair addresses the Labour Party conference

submitted by individuals, and Working Groups set up to examine particular areas of policy, would be debated and voted upon by those present. All but emergency motions would be publicised well in advance.

☐ Particularly important or complex subjects would ideally be debated in advance of the conference at local branch level, in *ID* or on a special section on the BNP website, so that those in attendance had a good grasp of the issue, rather than a knee-jerk reaction to rhetoric on the day. It is this process of continuous debate that is the key to ideological development and coherence.

☐ Neither the debate, nor the conference, would be open to all and sundry. Involvement and the right to vote would not be the prerogative of every featherless biped which cares to pay its twenty-five quid to join the BNP. The right to influence the future direction of the party must be earned by activism and commitment, not by money or waving a membership card.

Those with that right would be as follows: The party's national

leadership and decentralised Head Office staff (both paid and volunteers); all BNP councillors and other elected representatives, all regional officials; all branch, group and circle Organisers and Fund-holders; all individuals who have been imprisoned on account of persecution for their BNP involvement; all members with more than ten years unbroken membership (true, a few of these might never have done anything more than pay their dues, but their right to be involved gives a degree of weight to continuity and party tradition and dilutes what would otherwise tend to be a tendency for the conference to represent a ruling orthodoxy in the party).

Motions would be passed by simple majority vote, and would be published both in *Identity* and on our main website, where they would remain in the public domain until the next year's conference.

In order to preserve the operational flexibility that is the key benefit of the leadership principle which remains at the heart of our party, motions would not be binding on the leadership. This, incidentally, is the case in the vast majority of 'democratic' parties, including both the Tories and Labour.

In the case of the BNP, however, this ability of the leadership to ignore unwelcome resolutions would be heavily circumscribed by our extraordinarily democratic procedure for electing the national leader.

Quite simply, Tony Blair can ignore the opinions of Labour's conference year after year and there is nothing anyone can do about it. In the case of the BNP, while a leader could refuse to implement a given conference decision, if he ignored one on a crucial subject which was carried by a large majority, it would be a clear signal that a leadership challenge the following year - with the issue in question at its heart - not only could, but also probably should, be launched, and probably be successful.

Combining this elected strong leadership system with conferences at which the people who make the party tick have their chance to influence its future direction will provide checks and balances missing up until now. This would not only make it far harder for the BNP to be driven off course by the naïve or the subversive, but also guard against a leadership which is out-of-touch or impatient with the balance of opinion within the party dragging it off at a tangent on personal whim.

Finally, the fact that everyone would know that these checks and balances exist would help to prevent the unsettling effect of troublemakers from the party's fringe making groundless claims about impending 'sell-out'. And it would provide well-meaning people with ideas for policy development or change with a proper constitutional channel for proposing them and having them taken seriously.

Most important of all, I believe that a system along these lines would not only increase the chances of the British National Party achieving political power but also that, once in power, it uses it to full and truly historic effect. What say you?

General Election Manifesto - Expert Help Welcome

Please note that this article is not a signal to begin party-wide debate on the policy issues likely to be decided at future conferences. What we need is feed-back on the general idea of this important constitutional and operational change, and ideas for fleshing out what Mr. Griffin has presented here.

Future policy/ideological development will start from the Manifesto the party will put forward at the next General Election. It is intended to produce this early in the New

Year, and to that end we wish to receive by email submissions from individuals with personal knowledge of or experience in key areas such as health, housing, transport and the environment. Such submissions should be emailed to manifesto@bnp.org.uk

Please indicate at the end of your email whether you are prepared to be put in touch with other people who have sent in useful contributions on the same topic, so as to form the basis of a Policy Working Group.



Dinosaurs -



Who Is Next?

Ramon Johns, a BNP Councillor for Broxbourne, Herts, gives an interesting dissertation on dinosaurs. If you think it is of marginal interest to British National Party supporters, well, read on for the message it can give us.

Dinosaurs first appeared in the middle of the Triassic period, 180-220 million years ago. The name dinosaur comes from two Greek words: 'deinos', which means 'terrible' and sauros, 'lizard'. There are two orders of dinosaurs: saurischia or reptile pelvis, and ornithischia or bird pelvis. Both orders began as bipedal forms but from both orders many adopted a four-footed posture. They are thought to have begun in the early Triassic period with the appearance of lightly built dinosaurs adapted to running on their hind legs, perhaps in pursuit of large insects for food.

Brontosaurus were herbivorous quadrupeds and among the largest vertebrates (Bronto means thunderous). They ate about 700lbs/320 kilos of vegetation per day and when they broke wind, thunderous it must have been. About 80ft/24-25metres long and weighing in at around 56 tonnes, but practically defenceless, they were preyed upon by the carnivorous dinosaurs such as the Allosaurs. This beast was 'only' around 35ft/10-11m long but had tremendous teeth, jaws and powerful hind legs. The front legs of many species of the carnivores were much reduced, perhaps they were used to hang onto their prey whilst the kiss of death was inflicted by the massive jaws, with disembowelment by the hind legs as a bonus.

Although several species grew to enormous size, the monarch of the dinosaur world was Tyrannosaurus Rex of the late Cretaceous period, some 70-90 million years ago. It was 50ft/15-16m long with a skull of some 4ft/1.25m in length, armed with 8inch/20cm teeth some smile! For all its physical development its brain was left far behind, the

largest was about the size of a sheep's brain. A second brain, really a large ganglion, lay in the region of the hips; this in all probability passed on or boosted the messages from up front.

For reasons not yet fully understood the dinosaurs became extinct at the end of the Cretaceous period. There are different reasons as to why. The most important ones seems to be the increasing severity of the climate, the decreasing areas of swamp and increasing aridity. As cold blooded animals they could not survive periods of protracted cold. Their small brain may also have been a hindrance to their survival.

HUMANS/HOMO SAPIENS

Enough remains of early man and his tools have been found to give us a picture of human evolution over the last 4-5 million years, albeit with many gaps and many questions unanswered. Man has evolved over that time through many stages and off-shoots that have no doubt vanished into the mists of time. It is not the intent of this essay to dwell upon the different groups of the human race, nor yet to indulge in the arguments of racial superiority or inferiority; let the records that are known speak for themselves. What I have in mind is the continuance and well being of my own order or branch of the said races.

The human races have divided into various streams over the millennia, founded many civilisations that in the course of time faltered and collapsed. Following the collapse of Rome, over the next millennium European

man built large empires that girded the world. These have gone from view, many in the last fifty years. So be it, what is gone is gone; dry your eyes.

What has to be addressed is our today and the immediate future. Does today's world and its promises fill you with joy? Whether you are young or old, do you have a vision of sunlit paths and a way of life that will be full of hope, with promises of a cleaner, better and healthier ways for you children and your children's children, if not for you? Perhaps you do, then may you live in peace.

Or do you maybe have a feeling of foreboding for all our tomorrows? Perhaps thinking that all we have, and all that our people built up over the centuries, is to vanish - as did the dinosaurs. Will we be forgotten and unknown to those who might inhabit the world in the coming aeons? Are we, who in contrast to the dinosaurs are small in body and large in brain, to suffer total oblivion as did they? Are we to

sit and watch our way of life drop out of sight, with only the futile utterances of "Oh dear! Oh dear! Why doesn't somebody (else) do something?"

WE are White men and women, good and true, let us say to those who would destroy us: "Enough Sir! Cease and desist forthwith, immediately if not sooner." To get in this mood of active dissent initially can be off-putting, but the more you do it the easier it becomes, just like falling off a log.

There you have it. We are not prehistoric 'terrible lizards'. We have the brains and the muscle; surely we have the courage? Let us then take back what is ours from those who are not of our stock (but not by physical assault) and from those who are of our stock, but have betrayed us. Then in the fullness of time our descendants will look back and say: "*To be sure, that was definitely a time of challenge. Would that I could have lived then.*"

NUCLEAR POWER - The Debate Continues

Sir,

It is suggested that nuclear power might be 'unpopular with the electorate' - well so are taxes and pub closing times. So do we just want to pursue only popular policies, as do the current big three parties, or do we want to lead and govern wisely for the future prosperity of this nation?

The truth of the matter is that while energy conservation and a few renewable energy sources may certainly assist us, we will still need reliable, cost-effective energy for windless and cloudy days. And I am not thinking of powering a 15 watt (energy saving) bulb in your lounge here, but the multi-megawatt requirements of a smelting works. The facts are:

- A. Fossil fuel energy production is on the way out.
- B. Renewable sources cannot run a nation 24/7. (Is this term familiar to everyone?)
- C. Renewable sources cannot run heavy industry.
- D. There are absolutely no energy storage facilities that can cope with national demands.
- E. The only viable energy source for the foreseeable future is nuclear.

But why all this fuss over nuclear power?

- A. It has no global warming emissions.
- B. It has no acid-rain emissions.
- C. It has caused far fewer deaths and pollution than fossil fuel power.
- D. New plants have natural fail-safe designs to prevent a Chernobyl-style meltdown.
- E. It completely separates us from Middle Eastern or Russian blackmail.

Perhaps I should reiterate that energy production is going to be the biggest issue and, yes, the biggest crisis of our



generation. At the very least, we have got to start debating ALL the issues involved in a clear, calm and rational manner; as burying our heads in the (organic) sand will not keep our power lines humming.

Ralph Ellis

PS. Ground source heat pumps need electricity to function. Because of electrical production and transmission losses, at present it is still more energy efficient to use gas than heat pumps. (Which is why we shouldn't use electric heaters too).

PPS. Wind turbine 'loading' occurs because the wind is unpredictable. The wind comes on-line all of a sudden, and it takes quite a while to off-load a power station. But



SELLAFIELD: Britain's most notorious nuclear plant



conversely, the wind suddenly drops and it's all hands to the pumps, because for quite a while there is not enough energy to go around. I bet the national grid curses wind power.

PPPS. I thought that the whole point of us using AC power was that it was more efficient than DC. All early power systems used DC, but switched to AC because of its efficiency. And an inverter (transformer?) is a great source of power loss - which is why they get hot. Although there might be an argument for a central DC transformer in each house, instead of the hundreds of little ones we each have.

Breath Of Fresh Air

Sir,

What a breath of fresh air Ralph Ellis's article on renewable energy was (September). Refreshing because it exploded the myths of this supposed solution to Britain's energy needs so clearly and succinctly. Refreshing too because, at last, it was an article that had the courage to attack the self-righteous hair shirt green lobby, which has achieved a dangerous level of influence in Britain out of all proportion to their numbers and which has held our country back in so many damaging ways.

Whilst other western countries have developed the energy, transport and other infrastructures essential for modern economies and societies, and are now benefiting from them, in Britain we've muddled along with inadequate crisis-management patching solutions to problems that have been patently obvious to most people but which have been resisted in the name of environmentalism. That's largely why our infrastructure is now in such a mess.

The Lib-Lab-Con party has allowed itself to be dictated to by numerous self-appointed pressure groups on all sorts of issues (not least of which, so-called environmentalism) most of which are totally unrepresentative of the people they claim to represent. I joined the BNP three years ago because I largely agreed with the 'Where We Stand' manifesto, but the one thing I

didn't like was the seemingly wholesale support for so-called environmentalism and the idea that we can return our country to some sort of rural idyll. The party offers no alternatives to the Lib-Lab-Con party on this important issue, whereas it needs to face up to the fact that we are a densely populated modern industrial country (well, hopefully we'll still have an industry to speak of) and we need a highly developed infrastructure to match.

Philip Peters,
Redhill

We Must Aim For An Oil-free Economy

Sir,

With the debate that has been going on in *Identity* on the need to look at alternative power sources, everybody is agreed that we must face the long-term need (well, not so 'long' in fact) to find an alternative to hydrocarbons, i.e. oil and gas. Many of the world's smaller oil reserves are already approaching peak capacity. North Sea oil and gas are now virtually exhausted, and the US and South American wells are also now in decline. This leaves Britain and the West ever more dependent on the politically unstable Middle East.

Like Tony Rawlinson (November issue) I find Ralph Ellis's view on the use of up-to-date, 'fail-safe' nuclear power interesting and tempting. However, surely the main problem, which he fails to address, is how do we safely dispose of the spent nuclear fuel, which can remain radioactive for up to 10,000 years? I read somewhere recently that a boffin had come up with the idea of drilling a hole a mile or more into the earth where the temperatures are so high that if you dropped the nuclear waste down the hole it will fuse into the rock strata and be sealed for ever.

I would add that whilst I remain unhappy at the increased use of nuclear power, if it was adopted as an energy policy by the BNP I would not consider it a resigning matter - unlike your correspondent Paul Adams. Surely, our agreement on the need to ensure the survival of our indigenous people and our culture takes priority!

I would support Barry Bennett's ideas of our creating as much energy for our home use as we can: solar panels (which will become cheaper as more people use them), ground source heat pumps, and your own small wind turbine. But I am definitely against the use of the massive monstrosity, bird-chewing wind turbines now defacing some of the most attractive parts of our islands. Each one needs several hundred tons of concrete as a foundation, with access roads being built to erect and service it, so that if you consider all the energy that has been produced to supply this, plus the making of the turbines and giant wind sails themselves, surely this is more than the energy they are going to save?

Kevin Bakewell
e-mail

As we have now given the 'for' and 'against' on wind power and nuclear energy, the Editor regrets that we must close this correspondence for the time being, particularly in view of our limitations on space. But, we hope you all stay with us!

A Warning Note From The Balkans


Alastair Harper warns of the Turkish plan to recapture the Muslim sector of the Balkans and the greater threat posed by its admission to the EU

Whilst Europe wallows in a debate, dominated by the racially suicidal liberal left, on whether to admit Turkey to the expanding Union, evidence builds up that an Islamic bond is being forged from the Sea of Marmara to the Adriatic. If this weld is completed Greece will be cut off from its European kin who, dazed by hedonism and globalism, fail to perceive the resumption of the age old Islamic Asiatic drive to the West.

Turkey's sixty-year-old allegiance to Europe springs from cupboard love. It is not alarmist to conjure up a scenario where Turkey will bite the hand which has fed it over these years. The contribution of the latter-day janissaries of the Turkish Army in protecting the eastern flank of NATO was largely illusory. Europe was saved from Russian expansion entirely by the protection of the ICBM weaponry in the States and, with the collapse of Soviet Russia, a new situation prevails.

With Turkey's 70 million population added to the indigenous Muslims of the Balkans and the new arrivals from Asia and North Africa now in Western Europe, there would then be a Muslim bloc of 125 millions within a total European population of 575 millions. The rise of fundamentalist Islam in the former Ottoman Empire is only a matter of time. Kemal Ataturk's secular impulse has relaxed and it is only its remnant in the Turkish army - itself deeply penetrated by the erstwhile dominant concept of Islam - which keeps this strategic nation from reviving its aggressive notions.

The Turkish Premier Erdogan recently scared the European Parliament in Strasbourg with the undoubted



TERRORISTS: Kosovo 'Liberation' Army troops

strength of Turkish resolve to become part of Europe. "If we don't want a clash of civilisations, Turkey must take its place in the European Union," he said.

The British response to this unveiled threat is evidenced by the wet statement of Foreign Secretary Jack Straw that the millennial Turkish attitude had been erased "by remarkable changes over the last few years". Remarkable indeed! Baroness Nicholson of Winterbourne, MEP, a renegade Tory and now of the Group of the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats in Europe, said: "Europe has nothing to fear from Turkey. . . . Muslims have been guarding you for more than 50 years". How even more wet can you get?

ALBANIANS IN KOSOVO

If we turn to the western end of the troubled Balkan arena the aggressive surge of Islamic Albanians into Kosovo is plain to see. Here the 100,000 Slavic Serb minority is terminally beleaguered. With an overwhelming 1.2 million Albanian majority it is only a matter of time before Kosovo, once and still sacred soil for the Serbian people, will be overrun completely.

This deadly process insinuated itself into the recent American Presidential Election, where a prominent Albanian arms dealer, Florin Krasniqi, had, to Kerry's profound embarrassment, intimated that he was subscribing to the Democrat war chest and added that he is distributing arms to the KLA mercenaries on the Kosovo border. He stated darkly in a Netherlands' documentary that the KLA has "unfinished business" with the Serbs.

It is alleged that Bush is planning to withdraw US troops from Kosovo and the fear that unrestrained Serbs will revive their recovery of their lost land prompted the American Albanian actor John Belushi to call for a Kerry vote in defence of the Albanians.

With Kosovo completely in Albanian hands, as it may well be within a year, the simmering ethnic maelstrom in adjacent Macedonia could well erupt. Turkey is exerting influence in the central Balkan band of Bulgaria, Macedonia and Albania, and the Albanian minority in the struggling republic of Macedonia forms part of the Turkish plan to recapture the Balkans.





The 200 Days Campaign

This is the first of a two part report by the BNP National Elections Officer, Eddy Butler, on fighting elections in the London area, March to October 2004

This year has been a busy and tiring period for the hard-working activist teams in London and the surrounding area. They have been in action continuously for over 200 days with barely a pause for breath. When Napoleon escaped from the Island of Elba, briefly reclaimed his Imperial throne, assembled an army, invaded the Netherlands, was defeated at the Battle of Waterloo and fled to the coast to be picked up by *HMS Bellerophon* (the famous *Billy Ruffian*) to be transported to St Helena, that whole episode lasted barely 100 days. That might give you an idea of how long we have had to keep our 'troops' in the field and in the front line, with no relief force in sight.

Full scale campaigning was well under way in earnest from March onwards for the London, European and various local election contests. These elections, held on 10th June, entailed a massive workload and the available activist teams had to be carefully targeted to get the best out of the available manpower.

The European Election was primarily a financial exercise. Little activist time was devoted to it, although some effort was required to ensure the delivery of the election addresses and London based activists had to provide assistance in the production and delivery of the party's television broadcasts to various TV stations around the country.

The main activist strength had to be split between fighting the London Mayoral and Assembly election (and any work here would automatically assist the London European campaign) and assisting in various local council elections on the fringes of London, namely in Broxbourne (Hertfordshire), Epping Forest,



Basildon and Thurrock (all in Essex).

As a measure of the increased work load over the proceeding year, it should be observed that in 2003, London activists provided assistance to only three ward campaigns: Broxbourne; Basildon; and Redhill (Surrey). London activists were able to do this as local elections occur in the capital only every four years the next round being in 2006.

So from assisting in three wards in 2003, of which only one benefited from a full campaign (Rosedale in Broxbourne, which resulted in a then record winning percentage of over 48%), in 2004 the party was fighting across all Greater London as best it could, and also endeavouring to mount credible campaigns in Broxbourne, Epping Forest, Basildon and Thurrock. Over-stretch was inevitable, although it would be ignorant and misleading, not to say dishonest, to claim (as one internal 'critic' has done) that this had anything to do with the European election campaign.

It has been noted that in some parts of the country local units rested on their laurels and relied on the TV broadcast and the free delivery of the Euro-election address to do much of their work, and that this was partly responsible for fewer local council seats being won than was hoped. The south was an area where this

complacency did not apply, partly due to recognition of the daunting workload ahead.

CHEATING AND SABOTAGE

The most tricky aspect was in determining where our efforts should best be directed. Balancing local interests against strategic considerations inevitably leads to arguments and occasionally friction. The results show that we probably got the balance slightly wrong. Three councillors were elected in Epping Forest (the best resourced of the local campaigns) but we narrowly missed out in Broxbourne and Thurrock.

The Thurrock result was particularly disappointing as we lost our excellent councillor Nick Geri, who had won his seat in a hard fought by-election victory the proceeding autumn. The disappointment in Thurrock was tempered by the exceedingly strong suspicion that Labour resorted to blatant cheating in order to win.

Successful local election campaigns are labour intensive. They rely (as I hope all readers, and especially all activists are by now aware) on systematic canvassing and multiple local issue leaflets. The London Mayoral campaign primarily relied upon finance: to contest it in the

first place; and to pay for the inclusion of party publicity material in a booklet that was delivered free to all households.

Two-thirds of London also got a Euro election leaflet (when this delivery was not sabotaged), and of course there was the TV party election broadcast.

To give substance to the campaign and to galvanise dormant activists, Richard Barnbrook did an excellent job in organising a regional activist team to systematically leaflet large chunks of the 'whiter' parts of London (which are becoming fewer and smaller with alarming rapidity) with a *London Patriot* leaflet. A van with loudspeakers and prominent party advertising on the side also toured London (and further afield).

This undoubtedly gave the London campaign more credibility, which in turn led to the media giving our campaign reasonably prominent coverage and provided our Mayoral candidate, Julian Leppert with a regular stream of media opportunities.

The London Assembly result was tantalisingly close to getting our lead candidate, Jason Douglas, elected. We were a few fractions of a percentage point short. It has been mischievously suggested that if only our activists had been targeted more on London then we could have won these extra votes. At the same time these mischievous and ill-informed voices moan that not enough effort was put into local election campaigns. Well, the south had both to fight and a hard choice had to be made.

If more resources had been put into the London campaign, with more of the good chunks leafleted, then the result would not have been measurably different. If you regard London as one big ward, then the London campaign that was mounted with our own activists (and not that of the freely delivered Euro election address and Mayoral booklet) was the equivalent (in local election terms) of putting out a couple of hundred leaflets and loud-speakering for half an hour.

Such a campaign would make little or no impact on the electorate. The result would be largely based on our latent support coming out and voting almost on its own accord. Putting out another 50 leaflets (which scaled down is all that could possibly have been done across London as a whole) in an

average ward would not measurably increase the vote, not even by a few fractions of a percentage point.

In retrospect we should have targeted more London activists to assist in Thurrock and Broxbourne where time and manpower was of a premium and every extra hour of work done could have obtained those few extra votes needed for more victories.

DAGENHAM AND RAINHAM

But there is no use crying over spilt milk, particularly when a by-election was called almost as soon as June 10th had passed.

This election was for Valance ward in the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham. We had been on by-election alert in this area for some time as it was known that some Labour councillors had terrible attendance records and were on the verge of being kicked out for not turning up for six months (it is worth checking the attendance records of councillors in order to be able to potentially force a by-election). A councillor for Village ward was a prime target, but Labour fearing the prospect of a BNP by-election victory in London prior to the Mayoral contest, ensured that the absentee councillor turned up just enough times to retain his seat.

However, fate stepped in and a vacancy in Valance ward occurred due to death. Our attention was then drawn to another by-election, also in a favourable area, Rainham and Wennington ward in the neighbouring borough of Havering, to be held on the same day (15th July) as Valance. We had waited fruitlessly for months for a good by-election in London prior to the Mayoral contest. Now it was over, two

turned up at once! We immediately stepped into action.

An organisational meeting was held, the nominations submitted, maps and canvass sheets prepared and the first issues of *Dagenham Patriot* and *Rainham Patriot* were produced in double quick time.

Our activist teams were exhausted after their efforts up to June 10th. They had in some cases hundreds of follow ups to harvest; they had used up their holidays from work; their domestic lives had been disrupted and put on hold; and now they were called upon to step up to the mark without even a pause for breath. It was hoped that we would have the strength to fight two full-on campaigns at once.

In retrospect this was a mistake. We only managed to get about 80% of our campaign completed in Valance ward and perhaps half in Rainham (which was a bigger ward). We had committed the classic military mistake of splitting our forces. Theoretically we should have had the manpower to do it, but we had clearly overestimated the ability of our activists to pick themselves up after the toil and disappointment of June

IDEAL CAMPAIGN

The ideal campaign can be summarised as four sweeps (i.e. making four attempts to visit all households, recalling on the 'outs' each time), three re-knocks (three attempts to re-visit the yeses and possibles prior to polling day), a 'good morning' leaflet to selected households on polling day and a full rolling whipping-in of the yeses. Posters and 'Sorry we missed you' slips are also used.



BNP canvassing team



For many readers these terms will probably seem like double-Dutch, but the intricacies of these campaigns must become second nature to our activists. To that end a special detailed handbook is in preparation. A full-on campaign generates a very heavy workload. It is demanding: on activist time, on individual skill, training and initiative. Gone are the days when all activists were ever expected to do was trudge around putting out leaflets with their brains in neutral.

It is also much more demanding on organisers. They must be able to marshal teams of canvassers quickly and efficiently. They must fully understand the details of the campaign, the full rationale of each stage, the appropriate canvassing techniques for the particular ward. They must be able to sort out the paperwork generated by the campaign and have clip boards ready for the activists along with canvass sheets, pens, maps and leaflets ready for use.

As polling day approached we became aware that the BBC was going to screen a smearing pseudo-documentary on the night of polling day - but after polls closed (the notorious *Secret Agent* programme). However, the BBC decided to preempt their hatchet job by running the smears early on *Newsnight* the evening before and then ran stories about the pending programme as their main news item all day on polling day. Also the *Sun* newspaper decided that it was the biggest story of the day and ran a front cover on the wicked BNP.

As we had not been able to shore up our vote sufficiently due to the splitting of our forces, these smears undoubtedly had their effect and Labour won by a narrow margin. The Rainham campaign, directed by Havering Organiser Alan Bailey also put in a decent show. The disappointment was that with a full campaign, either ward would have been winnable, but we overreached ourselves and so neither was.

The results on 15th July 2004

Barking and Dagenham, Valence
Labour 761 (41.6% - down by 26.0%)
BNP 576 (31.5% - didn't contest previous election) candidate Lawrence Rustem
Conservative 279 (15.3% - didn't contest previous election)
Liberal Democrat 148 (8.1% - down by

24.3%)
Green 65 (3.6% - didn't contest previous election)
Turnout 27.8%.

Havering, Rainham & Wennington

Labour 805 (31.2% down by 4.1%)
Conservative 776 (30.1% up by 0.8%)
BNP 549 (21.3% - didn't contest the previous election) candidate Mark Blunden
Residents Association 265 (10.3% - down by 12.2%)
Third Way 93 (3.6% - down by 0.7%)
Green 89 (3.5 down by 2.3%)
Turnout 28.5%

As soon as these elections were out of the way, we discovered that a Labour councillor for Goresbrook ward was about to be slung out for non-attendance. We held another planning meeting, taking on board lessons learnt from the Valance campaign.

But now our activists were even more tired and even more disappointed than they were in June. Could their spirits be raised? The small core of activists at the heart of the campaign were self-motivated and needed little encouragement, but they were too few. Luckily there was an incentive to hand.

HOW GORESBROOK WAS WON

Before the Goresbrook campaign got fully underway, we obtained the results from the 10th June elections for every individual ward in London. This information was available because the counting of votes for these elections was computerised. The most flattering (for us) vote was obtained in the Greater London Assembly Top Up List. The results were interesting and showed that Goresbrook was, by chance, the best ward in London for us and as such *had* to be won.

The main differences between Valance and Goresbrook were: our campaign literature was marginally better; in the GLA results Goresbrook was shown to be slightly better; the ward was more uniform and not split by any main roads; about 90% of the ideal campaign was completed (against about 80% in Valance); the campaign was conducted in a smoother and more orderly way; there was no BBC or *Sun* smear on polling day; in any case, as the campaign had gone better we created a groundswell of popular

opinion that both protected us from the many smears that were spread and acted as a multiplier or an accelerant to our support.

Many explanations have been offered by the opposition as to why we won in Goresbrook, all of which ignore the simple truth that it was a good campaign. This is the explanation for all of the BNP's victories in the south. The wards present themselves by accident. **The 'plan' is the only constant factor.**

There were a selection of leftist excuses for our Goresbrook win:

- ☐ We produced a forged NHS document (in Somali! Yes, we have a lot of bi-lingual Somali-English members to draw upon if you believe it!) that scared poor defenceless pensioners into voting for us by telling wicked lies that asylum seekers were getting free health care. The only English word in this despicable forgery was the word 'Albanian', which was cunningly inserted in big print somewhere in the middle of the text. It was confidently declared (and then retracted) that the director of the local NHS Trust had denounced it as a forgery, and the Police were about to arrest the master forger (me apparently).
- ☐ The Labour MP was Margaret Hodge. As she is a minister in Blair's cabinet the voters punished New Labour by voting BNP.
- ☐ Our leaflets were full of lies.





VICTORY: Dan Kelley in Dagenham

According to the leftists, local residents of course are too stupid to realise what is and what isn't a lie, even when the matters under discussion all relate to their immediate area. We can apparently make anything up out of thin air and people will just believe it.

Because the left (which includes the liberal ascendancy) are governed by a rigid set of theories, all political events have to be bent to conform to their dogma. Otherwise the sky will fall in. That is why they totally fail to understand how and why we can win.

The result on 16/09/04

Barking and Dagenham, Goresbrook
BNP 1072 (51.9% - didn't contest the previous election) candidate Danny Kelley
Labour 602 (29.1% - down by 32.9%)
UKIP 137 (6.6% - didn't contest the previous election)
Conservative 111 (5.4% - didn't contest the previous election)
Liberal Democrat 85 (4.1% - down by 33.9%)
Green 59 (2.9% - didn't contest the previous election)
Turnout 28.8%.

While preparations were being made to contest Goresbrook, information was received that a vacancy had occurred in a favourable ward in Basildon that the party had contested in June when, with very little work done, a highly creditable 509 votes (20.2%) was obtained. A strategic decision was taken that Goresbrook should be the priority. Indeed the local unit in Basildon was urged not to stand at all as we didn't want to repeat our mistake in contesting both Valance and Rainham and Wennington together. However, the local

organisers were insistent that they should be given the opportunity to contest the Basildon by-election even though it was made clear to them that they would be dependent wholly upon their own purely local resources. Fortunately for all concerned, the absence of these activists from most of the Goresbrook campaign did not prove fatal to our efforts.

A LESSON FROM BASILDON

One of the main arguments put forward for contesting Laindon Park in Basildon was that 509 people had voted for us in June and they could not be 'abandoned'. The result proved the naivety of such considerations. Even though contested with limited local resources, the by-election campaign in Laindon Park was greatly superior to the virtually non-existent 'campaign' that achieved the 509 votes. Surely this must mean that we would retain those votes and get some more?

Well things just don't work out like that. By-elections are different from the normal round of elections. Results achieved with 'paper' campaigns in normal elections are only a rough indication of how well you are likely to be able to do. Why? Because other parties can concentrate **their** effort in a by-election.

When the votes were counted, the 509 had become 365. The local activists engaged in this campaign had worn themselves out to no purpose. Although, to be fair, the party's position (3rd) was held and the percentage from June was down by just a point. Nevertheless, the lesson is that a slightly heavier campaign is no good because **all** the parties are able to up their work rate. Only a full-on campaign in a by-election can have

any prospect of success.

Polling Day in Laindon Park was a week after Goresbrook. Instead of moving straight onto the important Village campaign, for which only three weeks were available, the south Essex activists were bogged down in a campaign that could only ever prove to be fruitless. Not only was Laindon Park a diversion, in that the activists concerned did not make themselves available for the main effort, but also it contributed to their electoral fatigue. So that once Laindon Park was out of the way and they could theoretically have piled in to what remained of the Village campaign, they were (in the words of one of the main Laindon Park advocates) "all elected out". *Quelle surprise.*

Like the buses that all come along at once, we were not to know at the outset that we'd be faced by a series of by-election contests in Dagenham. Nevertheless, as explained before, there were persistent rumours that there would be one in Village. Local units should be warned. Never think: "Oh, there are no good by-elections in the immediate future, let's do this less good one now, to show people we are still here." As sure as eggs are eggs, the moment you commit to a second rate target, a first rate target will appear. The moral is - keep your powder dry; keep your troops fresh for the main battle; and don't fire until you can see the whites of their eyes.

The result on 23/09/04

Basildon, Laindon Park
Labour 818 (42.3% - up by 14.0%)
Conservative 582 (30.1% - down by 4.8%)
BNP 365 (18.9% - down by 1.3%) candidate David King
Liberal Democrat 139 (7.2% - down by 3.6%)
Independent 30 (1.6% - down by 4.2%)
Turnout 22.8%.

And I might add that surprise is half of the victory.

Sun Tzu in the *Art of War* wrote: 'Open confrontation will trigger overpowering resistance. Thus the key to victory is the ability to use surprise tactics'.

The truth of this statement can be measured by some of the election results discussed earlier.

Continued next month...

*Murder and repression make November a black month but***Our Time Will Come!**

Says Nick Griffin

November was a black month for freedom in the nations of Western Europe. In Holland, film-maker Theo van Gogh was ritually murdered by a 'Dutch' Muslim. In Belgium the moderate and highly successful Vlaams Blok was banned. Here in Britain the BNP's Treasury Department had its last hopes of finding a British-based bank to handle our accounts dashed.

Theo van Gogh (right) had received death threats after producing a film with a Somali woman, now a 'Dutch' MP, exposing the brutal treatment meted out to women under her former religion. As he cycled through Amsterdam he was shot six times by a bearded Moroccan, who then slashed his throat with a butcher's knife - almost hacking off his head as he did so - stabbed him through the heart and pinned hate verses from the Koran to his chest with another knife (see inset).

Police quickly discovered that, while the May 2002 assassination of Dutch anti-immigration politician Pim Fortuyn was apparently carried out by a lone leftist acting "on behalf of Holland's Muslims", the attack on van Gogh was planned by an Islamic gang. The Jihadists had decided that they could do more to Islamify Holland by killing those speaking out against the trend than by blowing up railway stations.

The religious ritual murder of Theo van Gogh therefore marked an escalation in the Islamicists' war to conquer the West. Assassination has now been added to immigration, high birth rates, drug pushing, the seduction of women and ethnic cleansing attacks on young men.

Now the precedent has been set, it is inevitable that other individuals who speak out, whether in Holland or in other European countries, will be targeted in future. In terms of the damage this will do to the liberals' fantasy project of genocide through peaceful multi-culturalism, we must regard this as a good thing, but from a human point of view it marks the end of relative innocence and the beginning of a tragic period when the history of the struggle to save the West will be written in the blood of our own martyrs.

BELGIUM'S MOST POPULAR PARTY BANNED

Less than a week after Islamic murder hit Holland, the Supreme Court in neighbouring Belgium struck its own deathblow against the most popular party in the entire country, the Flemish separatist Vlaams Blok. The court upheld an earlier Court of Appeal declaration that the totally peaceful and democratic party which won 24.1% of the vote in June's elections is a "criminal organisation" on account of alleged "incitement to discriminate" in favour of the native people.

The ground for the ban was prepared back in 1999, when the Belgium parliament passed 'anti-racist' laws intended to criminalise and bankrupt the Vlaams Blok, including an Anti-Discrimination Act which defined 'discrimination' so broadly that it is almost impossible to refute any such charge.



Despite this, the prosecution was forced to rely on a mere 16 texts, published by local Vlaams Blok branches between 1996 and 2000, which were slightly more strongly worded than the publicity material put out by the party centrally. The Supreme Court agreed that these were published "with an intention to contribute to a campaign of hatred", and that material produced by a few local branches and individual members of the party should be used to criminalise the entire organisation.

The leaders of the Vlaams Blok had already announced their intention immediately to form a new party, with a fresh constitution and set of aims which would bring it just within the anti-freedom laws. Outraged public reaction to the ban suggests that, in the end, the whole affair will blow up in the Establishment's face, provided nationalist activists in Flanders are politically mature enough to accept that more careful and 'softer' words do not entail a 'sell-out'. There will probably be a few cranks and agents provocateur who try to make capital with such accusations, but fortunately they are unlikely to be taken seriously.

Nevertheless, the fact that the left-liberal Establishment in Belgium - the artificial multi-national state which is the model for the EU federal Europe project - feels that it can get away with banning a party standing at 26.9% in the opinion polls, is another indication of hard times ahead for nationalists elsewhere as well.

Finally, the same black week for freedom saw the Northern Bank go back on its previous willingness to allow the BNP Treasury Department to open accounts in Belfast to replace those shut down on the mainland by Barclays and the HSBC over the last couple of months.



Vlaams Blok leaders face the press

As a result, we are now being forced to rely as a stop-gap on our final group of reserve accounts with other institutions, and expect those to be closed shortly as well. In order to conceal their identities for as long as possible, closure cheques from our various HSBC accounts are being run through dormant personal accounts of key officials, who will then write cheques for the amounts involved to our reserve accounts.

It is hoped that this will buy us enough time to open accounts in the name of the party's various operations abroad. If it does not, we will have no choice but to operate from personally named accounts. Inevitably, this will lead to a variety of *Searchlight* moles and 'hardline' cranks making stupid allegations of dodgy dealings in Internet chatrooms - something, of course, which the authors of

these moves to deny us proper banking facilities had in mind right from the word go.

It is also equally certain that the vast majority of the BNP's members and supporters will treat such politically juvenile sniping with the contempt it deserves. But, just as with the attack on our friends in the Vlaams Blok, the worrying thing isn't so much the impact of these undemocratic attacks on lawful and peaceful political parties, as the indication they give as to the mentality behind them.

POLITICAL GANGSTERS

The Establishments of the nations of Western Europe are now largely in the hands of a class of political gangsters, members of the Class of '68. Now that they have their greedy snouts in the publicly-funded trough they've dropped their ideas about economic egalitarianism, but when it comes to questions of culture, immigration and freedom they have not changed their fundamentally Marxist views one iota.

This is what is behind the Blair regime's project to abolish Britain. This is what is behind the asylum flood. And this is what is behind the wave of Establishment-inspired attacks on our party. So what is behind these peoples' new-found desperation to rush their project through to completion even though it is clearly beginning to provoke a mighty backlash? Desperation, that's what. They're running out of time. We only have to keep our heads and keep working, and our time will come!

GENERAL ELECTION - Candidates Wanted

With the General Election possibly just a couple of months away, Prospective Parliamentary Candidates must apply in writing, with a good quality portrait-style photo and personal and political CVs (no more than 400 words for each kind of CV). Please also state your preferred constituency or region, and give details of local party officials who support your application.

Regional and local organisers must also write to inform us of who they believe should stand for given seats in their areas (and include yourself in this if relevant - don't be shy!) and which constituencies they ideally wish to contest.

These applications must have arrived at the PO Box below by 31st December 2004, and in view of the shocking state of our Third World Post Office system we urge you to send via Recorded Delivery or to telephone to confirm receipt.

While local opinion will of course be taken into account, the final decisions on who will stand where will be taken at a meeting of the Advisory Council in the first half of January. Please refrain from announcing local preferences to the media until after formal approval has been given after the AC meeting.

Please send all applications to: Nominating Officer, Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN

Yes, I want to help ensure that the BNP can fight the biggest and best General Election campaign ever. Please find enclosed my cheque payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'

☐ **£10**

☐ **£25**

☐ **£1000**

☐ **£50**

☐ **£100**

☐ **Other:**

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less



BNP West Yorkshire Regional
Organiser Chris Beverley reports on:

A Stunning Breakthrough In Germany

Over the last few years we have heard much about the rise of nationalism across Europe. For us in Britain, the success achieved by our nationalist friends in countries such as France, Belgium, and Italy has been a great source of inspiration and hope. Yet one country in Europe seemed far behind the rest in terms of electoral success for the nationalist opposition, and that country was Germany.

The relatively poor results achieved by nationalists in Germany over previous years have led many to ask the question: Is there any hope whatsoever left for this once proud nation? The results of the September elections to the Regional Parliaments of Saxony and Brandenburg, which saw a total of 18 nationalist candidates being elected, show that the answer is in fact a resounding 'yes'.

The media in Britain and throughout the entire world bemoaned the fact that after all the years of oppression and brainwashing, there are obviously *still* Germans out there who are proud of their nation and who are increasingly willing to vote accordingly.

It was against this backdrop of electoral success for the National Democratic Party of Germany (NPD) and German Peoples Union (DVU) that the BNP was invited to send a delegation to the NPD's annual Bundesparteitag on 30th/31st October.

Like all true nationalists, I was delighted to see that our people at last seem to be making real progress in Germany, and with this in mind I was delighted to represent the BNP at the NPD's party congress. This event is basically their AGM, where the party leadership is elected by local officials, policy changes/additions are voted on, and political campaigns are launched.

COMMON PROBLEMS

As is the norm in modern Germany, the hall that the NPD had booked for this event in the little town of Leinefelde, in the former East German state of Thuringen, had been withdrawn by the local authorities. It took a last minute legal appeal by the NPD to secure the venue. Upon arrival at the modern congress hall, it was apparent that it had all definitely been worth the trouble.

I had been asked to say a few words on behalf of the BNP, and as I walked up to the stage to make my speech I realised that after having to get up and speak in German in

front of a crowd of hundreds of people and a small army of press photographers and cameramen, I would never be nervous about speaking anywhere back in England again!

I thanked the NPD for inviting the BNP to their meeting, and I told them why in the British National Party we view co-operation with our comrades in Europe to be so important. In case there are any small-minded 'xenophobes' (I don't like to use PC-speak but this is the most appropriate word I can think of) and Tory-boys who have accidentally found their way into the BNP and who do not understand why we want to work with any foreigners, let alone Germans, I think it is worth taking this opportunity to expand upon what I explained at this meeting:

The BNP acknowledges that nationalists across Europe can learn a lot from each other, because although we may live in different countries with different governments, we do in fact have the same enemies. As nations we are faced with an attack from 'below' in the guise of Islamic fundamentalism, and an attack from above in the form of international Zionism and global Capitalism.

REAL ENEMIES

As nationalists, our main enemies are not foreign nations, but our own treacherous governments, and although the governments of Europe may deal with their nationalist opposition parties in different ways, there is much that they have in common as well.

This is why the BNP invested a good deal of time speaking to the Vlaams Blok regarding the Belgium state's ban against this party. What we learned from our discussions with the now banned VB helped us to devise new amendments to the BNP's constitution which will make it harder for the British state to ban us in exactly the same way. We are not so naive that we believe that they won't try, but the steps taken as a result of our working together with the Vlaams Blok have certainly put the BNP in a stronger position than we in were before.

I also explained that it is important for European nationalists to work together because, in order to have the maximum amount of power available to us, it will sometimes be necessary for us to make official alliances between our parties. This is true within the European Parliament, where MEPs work in international alliances to maximise their power. Such a group must include no fewer than 16 MEPs from five or more countries to be recognised by the Parliament.

When the BNP sends MEPs to Strasbourg in 2009, we will be looking to work with like-minded patriots from other



Udo Voigt & Gerhard Frey



Chris Beverley speaks

European nations in order to have the maximum impact within the European Parliament and the best chance of forcing its collapse from within. Clearly, the more European parties that we have good relations with now, the easier it will be for us to form official alliances with them in the years to come.

It has even been proposed that in order to even be able to stand in the 2009 European Elections, a political party must be part of an official group of European political parties. Whilst such a grave step would only go to prove the increasingly totalitarian nature of the EU beast, such a rule need not bar the BNP, with its contacts all across Europe, from standing in the elections.

The effect it may have on those other small parties whose real purpose it is to steal our vote (read UKIP/English Democrats, or whatever other parasites appear between now and 2009) may well in fact be much greater.

There are various other reasons why it is right that we work with our European allies, and the one that I will finish with here is the following: Our enemies hate it. The response of the UK press to Jean-Marie Le Pen's visit to the UK to support the BNP earlier this year is proof enough of this. The most astute amongst the ranks of our enemies realise that as long as a moronic 'two world wars and one world cup' mentality is prevalent in the nationalist movement, we are destined to get nowhere.

The rise of European Nationalism, however, with its dynamism and sound ethnic and ideological foundations, is a huge threat to the corrupt establishment, and European nationalism will be the force that will kick the stool from underneath the feet of the EU swine and leave it dangling from the proverbial lamppost. Our enemies realise this, and that is why they react as they do whenever we work together with nationalists overseas.

I ended my short speech by pledging that whenever the NPD needed co-operation or support from Great Britain in any way during the coming months and years the BNP would be there, which was very well received.

The highlight of the weekend's events, however, was yet to come, and the background of this requires a short explanation here. The September elections had been a success for nationalism in Germany because Germany's two main nationalist parties, the NPD and the DVU, who for years had stood against one another in elections thereby splitting the nationalist vote, made an electoral pact. This resulted in the NPD being the only nationalist party to stand a list of candidates in Saxony, whilst the DVU was given free rein in the elections in Brandenburg.

The success of this pact was overwhelming, with the

both the DVU and the NPD breaking the 5% barrier (yes folks, that's all you need to get elected in Germany!) gaining just over 6% (six candidates elected) and just over 9% (twelve candidates elected) respectively. To put things in perspective; if both parties had stood in both states and the votes had been split between them, neither party would have gained more than 5% of the vote and not a single nationalist candidate would have been elected.

Happily we in the BNP are not in a situation where we have to make such pacts with other nationalist parties in this country, simply because there are none that are anywhere near us in terms of popular support. Making electoral pacts with tiny nationalist grouplets would only go to further inflate their leaders' egos and give them confidence. As it is, the BNP welcomes genuine patriots from any other parties, but we have nothing to gain by making electoral pacts.

NOT THE NATIONAL FRONT

The continued existence of the National Front for example, is actually useful for us in that by standing in elections every now and again, the NF show people that we aren't the NF, and the NF are also occasionally useful to us when they stand in the big parliamentary by-elections that we avoid for tactical reasons, and consistently humiliate the wannabe-respectable English Democrats by thrashing them.

The English Democrats in turn are useful to us in that they also stand in such elections and take a few votes off UKIP. The White Nationalist Party is useful to us in that it gives us a place to dump our rejects and people we have found to be *Searchlight* State moles. The list goes on, and although we would welcome genuine patriots from any other patriotic party, we do not need to compromise our position or ideology for electoral gain.

Our German friends in the NPD however, are not in such a luxurious position, which is why a pact was formed with the DVU. As the leaders of both parties entered the congress hall together the whole assembled crowd stood up to welcome them, eager to find out what electoral alliance had been agreed upon.

After the DVU leader Gerhard Frey had delivered a speech outlining his party's willingness to work together with the NPD, the details of the alliance were explained. The pact ensures that in the elections to the national parliament in 2006, there will be one united list of nationalist candidates, headed by an NPD candidate. In the 2009 Euro elections on the other hand, the united nationalist list will be headed by a DVU candidate.

In this way both parties will continue to operate as separate and distinct entities, but once election time comes, there will be no splitting of the nationalist vote. Let us hope that this alliance will be successful and help to ensure that in 2009 our newly elected MEPs will be greeting DVU and NPD MEPs in Strasbourg!

All in all, this was a very positive conference, and after years of poor electoral results and vote splitting in Germany, our allies there are more optimistic about the future than ever before. Let us hope that September's results were just the beginning, and that our friends in Germany will play a key part in the upcoming battle to create a Europe of Free Nations.



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Bush Re-election Entrenches the Neo-Cons

The 59 million Americans who voted for Bush were not 'dumb' but, like most Britons, misinformed about real politics. It was their patriotic instincts and the fact that they thought they knew exactly where Bush stood in regard to "the defence of America against terrorism" that made them reject the indecisive posturing of John Kerry.

What also influenced many American voters was that Kerry stood for increased abortions, gay marriages, even wider open doors for third world immigrants, restricting gun rights and all the other shibboleths of the liberal-left. In this he was supported by most of the media - much of it owned by Zionists - and the Hollywood luvvies. And in Britain Kerry had the support of the BBC and the rest of the Guardianistas. We must confess to a certain amount of *schadenfreude* at their distress when Kerry was defeated.

The above is the perception that the majority of basically non-political people have of the outcome of the US Presidential election. The reality is that it is a victory for the neo-Cons (Neo Conservatives) who, with the backing of America's Christian Fundamentalist lobby, were the driving force for the attack upon Iraq. Leading neo-Cons such as Donald Rumsfeld, Richard Perle, Paul Wolfowitz and Douglas Feith will now be more arrogant than before, with the danger that they will be urging an attack upon Iran and Syria (with the Bush puppet Blair no doubt offering more British soldiers for slaughter), or allowing Israel to carry out such attacks.

For it should not be forgotten that the reasons for the neo-Con-instigated attack upon Iraq was to protect Israel and America's oil supplies and that the bringing of 'democracy' in place of Saddam's tyranny was very much a secondary issue. Now this is not a question of being "anti-semitic", for - contrary to public opinion - many Jews world-wide do not support the founding of the Israeli state, or its actions against the Palestinians. But those Jews among the American neo-Cons are Zionists to a man, or woman. Incidentally, at the American election 75% of Jews voted for Kerry. As it would be ridiculous to suggest that these were all non-Zionist Jews, it would indicate that if he had been elected there would be no change on the Iraq blood bath, although further Middle East embroilments would be less likely.

The big question now is will Bush and his neo-Cons take the opportunity to do something to help solve the Israel-Palestine conflict following the death of Yasser Arafat? Because Arafat, remaining loyal to his people to the end, did not accept the virtual surrender terms offered four years ago by Bush at the Camp David conference, Bush and his neo-Cons turned their backs on the just demands for a Palestinian state and the removal of the Israeli wall of conquest and shame.

The answer is not just to offer backing to the moderate Mahmoud Abbas (who became chairman of the Palestinian Liberation Organisation), which seems likely as I write, because this will take no account of the power of the militant Hamas and Hizbollah organisations. Israel is dependent, militarily and financially, upon the United

States for its survival.

Therefore Bush must see that Israel makes just a few of the sacrifices that the Palestinians have made hitherto, which could include giving up colonising the West Bank, so that Israeli civilians are no longer murdered in suicide bomb attacks and no more Palestinian civilians are killed in revenge or are blasted out of their remaining homes.

One In The Eye For Prescott

The big "No" vote in the North-East last month was certainly in part a victory for our Tyne and Wear activists. And one in which the BNP activists of Tyne and Wear played their part. 78% voted "No" to give a resounding raspberry to not only John Prescott's plan for an extra tier of government in a regional assembly in the North East, but also to the Government plans for similar assemblies in the eight English regions. This would have enabled New Labour to complete its programme of devolution for the UK by Balkanising England according to the EU's objectives.

During the campaign none of the main parties made mention of the fact that it is the policy of the EU that each member state should have regional parliaments that will weaken and eventually usurp national governments.

However, there is still a network of unelected regional assemblies in England, to which the Government has recently passed strategic planning powers. A typical outcome is the decision of the unelected Eastern area "assembly" in Southend last month which approved plans for 478,000 new homes in the region for the next 17 years! This was followed two days later by the also unelected South East Regional Planning Committee giving approval to a proposal for up to 720,000 new homes in the South East. We must give as much attention to abolishing these unelected bodies as we do to one of the main reasons that is pushing up the demand for new housing; namely mass immigration.

The 'Hobbit' And The Origin Of Races

The discovery of the 'Hobbit' skeletons east of Java could raise a question mark over the current belief that all human evolution stems from 'Lucy', the *Homo habilis* fossil found in East Africa.

In 1966 the anthropologist Prof. Carleton S. Coon, in his work *Origin of Races*, postulated the theory that humans evolved in five different locations, one of them being in Java. His theory was denounced by liberal-left indoctrinated scientists at the time (many of them were not fellow anthropologists) as being 'racist'. Others have since dismissed Prof Coon's work on the grounds that the most ancient fossils of *Homo habilis*, going back three million years, have all been found in East Africa, which therefore strongly supports the view that all modern mankind has its origins in Africa. However, the African finds have all been made in the Rift Valley areas of Kenya and Ethiopia where, due to its specific geological conditions, all the fossils have



First, they had to destroy what already existed, which happened to be our European civilisations. Now we are seeing the effect of the BNP having brought the liberal-left elite out into the open.

David Hamilton
St.Albans

>> Chic Read

Chic Read, until recently our Fife Organiser, sadly passed away in September after a long battle with cancer. Alastair Harper and others from Fife BNP attended his funeral. Chic was involved in the nationalist cause for many years and will be deeply missed. He was a regular letter writer in his local papers and we must not underestimate the potential of such low key activities.

Kenny Smith
Inverness

>> Sexual Harassment Of Our Young Women

I am a 22-year-old female and with so many years likely to be ahead of me I dread what my future will be like if things in this country do not change soon. We need a BNP government before it's too late.

I have only been a member since last December. I was so relieved when I came across the BNP website one day and realised there was actually a political party that cared about Britain and the British. I've spoken to a considerable number of people regarding the BNP and they have all been genuinely interested and very impressed.

I live in an area which had always been 99.9% white. It was a nice, quiet, safe and peaceful place to live. But worryingly, ethnic minorities are moving here in rather large numbers. As usual, they are not blending into the community but are hanging around in their 'gangs'. Myself and other young females are constantly getting leered at by them and it's very intimidating and frightening getting virtually sexually harassed by a large group of foreign men.

A large number of elderly British people here are even too scared to leave their homes after dark. This is

awful, because it never used to be like that. And surprise, surprise, as the ethnic community increases, so do crime levels. I dread the day my once quiet, safe, English town is violent and no longer British.

I am very proud to be a BNP member and I want to give a huge congratulation to everyone helping the BNP.

Emma Yarwood
Christchurch

>> The Zimbabwean Butcher

While white farmers in Zimbabwe were being attacked and butchered by militant gangsters incited by Robert Mugabe, our spineless politicians have sat back and used rhetoric to appease the few rumblings of condemnation from the press. They have again indulged in their standard aphorisms to quieten those who want answers and solutions to this outrageous programme of irredentist savagery, which has also been waged against Mugabe's own people.

So far, all that this government has come up with is 'punitive sanctions'. If no effective solution is forthcoming then the work on this land, which has been toiled over by several generations to give it a viable, first class agriculture, will have been sacrificed. A strong, viable African economy which once exported food to the whole of Africa, has now succumbed to barbaric savages who have no experience of cultivating the land.

We need a strong, tenacious, moral political party which will not flinch from such responsibilities when it comes to helping our own 'kith and kin'. To state the obvious, that party is the BNP.

M.Sheils
Liverpool

>> Porcelain Pigs Give Offence

A colleague at work told me during my lunch break that the previous evening, whilst at home with his partner, the police knocked at his door and informed him that he had to remove immediately his porcelain

pigs from his windowsill. Apparently they had received an angry complaint from a Muslim who had had the misfortune to view these ornaments of unclean, sinful, cursed-by-God creatures on his travels. The police also informed him that if they received any more complaints they would be confiscating the ornaments. Needless to say, my colleague was outraged at this, but we must all make our sacrifices to appease our ethnic Islamic citizens, mustn't we? I somehow forced myself to remain calm and continued to eat my sinful, unclean, non-Halal meal. One Muslim on the table actually thought the police were acting appropriately....

K.Smyth
Oldham

>> BNP'd A New Adjective

BNP'd is a new adjective which means: when the establishment tries to shut down an organisation or political group for speaking the truth. To suffer malicious vandalism of intellectual and electronic property by persons unknown acting on behalf of a totalitarian government (example being the attack on the BNP website). To suffer unjust attack on the person for their beliefs in a democratic (sic) society, when those opinions are deemed too 'democratic' by the ruling junta and their media lackeys.

Wonder if this make the Oxford Dictionary?

Charlie McDonald
Scotland

>> Letters and Articles

Letters to the Editor kept under 150 words in length have a greater chance of publication.

Articles should be submitted in electronic format. If sent as a typescript they should be double line spaced without any penned corrections. Send to: P0 Box 97, Newmarket CB8 1WT.

PLEASE NOTE: the Editor cannot supply copies of Identity, or handle subscriptions. For this, please contact: PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY, which now deals with all subscription matters and not the Balsham Box number.

Readers' Letters

FOR HUGHER
HER
ts asyl

ars as
opens

ACIS
RIME
P20
i No
i IN


23



"Anti-Racism": The Mailed Fist Of Multiculturalism

By Lawrence Auster, author of *Erasing America: The Politics of the Borderless Nation*. He runs the weblog View from the Right: www.amnation.com/vfr

This article first appeared in FrontPageMagazine.com of July 16, 2004. Its republication in *Identity* does not mean that FrontPageMag.com or the author share all the views of the British National Party, but it does show that America suffers many of the problems that we do in Britain as a result of "the mailed fist of multiculturalism". In consequence, where Lawrence Auster has written "America" think "Britain".



Under the reign of multiculturalism Americans have been undergoing for decades, if in slow motion, what the historian Thomas Molnar once described as "the collapse of the old order, the sudden realization that the universe of a given community has lost its centre." (1)

Molnar, a refugee from Communist Hungary, calls this phenomenon "verbal terror." The actual terrorist, by blowing up actual human beings, makes the members of a society feel that every assumption that has constituted their world, the very ability to walk down a street or ride in a bus, is vanishing, and thus weakens their will to resist the terrorists' political demands. The verbal terrorist, by smearing everything great and ordinary about a people and their

institutions, makes them feel that nothing about themselves is legitimate. Once demoralized in this manner, they are ready (much like Louis XVI of France, who was subjected to a similar propaganda barrage) to yield to the Revolution.

The chief form this verbal terror takes in contemporary America is anti-racism. Now most people, when they hear about something called anti-racism, do not want to criticize it. After all, we would all agree that racism—acts and speech aimed at harming people of a particular race because of their race—is a morally bad thing. In the real world, however, anti-racism means something far more than the condemnation of discrete and morally objectionable acts and statements. It means the indictment of

America as America, and of white people as white people. The anti-racist movement has advanced itself most prominently through the schools, as an extension of multicultural education.

Multiculturalism tells us that America is a collection of equal cultures with no dominant culture, which means that America's purported dominant culture is really an illegitimate usurper over the "true," multicultural America. Anti-racism spells out these anti-majoritarian—i.e., these anti-white—implications more fully and puts them into practice.

One of the leaders of the anti-racist curriculum movement is Enid Lee, a Caribbean-born black woman based in Toronto, who has held workshops for the faculties of many private schools in the U.S. and Canada. (2)

Ms. Lee says she prefers to speak of anti-racism because the word multiculturalism is too "soft." Multiculturalism, she tells an interviewer, only means appreciation of different cultures, while anti-racism is an all-out attack on the existing culture. "A lot of times people say, 'I just need to learn more about those other groups.' And I say, 'No, you need to look at how the dominant culture and biases affect your view of non-dominant groups in society.'" (3) An example of this institutional racism, according to Lee, is that:

"Whatever is white is treated as normal. So when teachers choose literature that they say will deal with a universal theme or story, like childhood, all the people in the stories are of European origin; it's basically white culture and civilization."

Leaving aside Lee's ludicrous complaint that only "white culture" is

being taught in today's schools (since her side won that battle long ago and American schools have been thoroughly multiculturalised), we should pay close attention to her comment that the real motive for bringing other groups into the curriculum is not to be more "inclusive" (which is the "nice," mainstream understanding of multiculturalism), but to get rid of white normality.

Now, since America is a historically white majority country, whose population and institutions emerged from (historically white) Britain and (historically white) Europe, it would seem only natural that most American literature and historical topics would have dealt primarily with whites, just as story books in, say, Japan, would deal primarily with Japanese.

But this normal and natural circumstance, when it comes to whites, is the very thing that Lee considers "racist." So when she speaks of "racism," it is not some vicious behaviour she's talking about that she wants to eliminate. It is the national cultures and literatures of white Western peoples—it is their very identity and historical existence—that she wants to destroy.

In language suggestive of totalitarian mind control, she speaks of the mode of "attack" that she uses on the participants in her teacher workshops. "I do use the word 'attack' advisedly, because we are engaged in a struggle. We are attempting to reorganize the state of the universe, certainly the state of the schools..."(4).

Asked by an interviewer, "How can one teach multiculturally without making white children feel guilty or threatened?", Lee replied: "Perhaps a sense of being threatened or feeling guilty will occur. But I think it is possible to have kids move beyond that."

Enid Lee must have known that in multicultural America she had little to fear from making such an admission. When the interview was circulated at the Park School, an elite private academy near Boston which was considering hiring Lee as its diversity consultant, one of the trustees noticed her comment about making white children feel guilty.

Did the board of trustees indignantly throw out her application? Did they declare that they would never let such a person darken their doorway, let alone counsel their

teachers? Well, no. While a few of the trustees were very upset about Lee's remarks, the majority of them - knowing in advance that she was planning to train Park's faculty in techniques that would intimidate white children - voted to hire her anyway. Emblematic of whites' betrayal of their own children was the fact that the trustee who most strongly supported the hiring decision was the Chief of Psychiatry at Children's Hospital in Boston.(5)

MULTICULTURAL BRAINWASHING

Another sign of whites' readiness to surrender to those who hate them is the "sensitivity" trainings that have become a fixture in American institutions. These are essentially brainwashing sessions, structured experiences that take participants through a series of stages from racist false consciousness to multicultural enlightenment. In one such program, participants keep filling in questionnaires to check how far they've advanced. If they agree with a statement such as "Our common history as Americans is what makes us all similar," then they are still on the lowest stage of development.

Next is the "disintegration" stage, where their beliefs are shaken up. Here the white participants "acknowledge that prejudice and discrimination exist," and they are "forced to view themselves as members of a privileged group." Gradually they learn to embrace diversity, until at the final stage they agree with statements such as:

"I am actively involved in fighting racism."

"I am a recovering sexist."

"We're all members of the same global community."

"Discrimination against any group has a negative impact on us all."(6)


Through such techniques whites are taught to view any lingering vestige of their American identity as an ugly thing, from which they can free themselves only by embracing diversity.

One of the highly paid entrepreneurs in this field is Barbara Riley, who was commissioned by Park School to conduct an "anti-racism" training for its faculty and staff. Like

Enid Lee, Barbara Riley is black, and equates multiculturalism with anti-racism. "She was explosive," says Nancy Anthony, who at the time was head of the parents' association at Park and a member of the Board of Trustees. "She said anti-racist education helps us move the European perspective to the side to help make room for other cultural perspectives. Most of all, she said, you have to get in touch with the fact that your current education has a cultural bias, that it is an exclusionary racist bias and that it needs to be purged. She was very extreme."

Riley's anti-racist training, which had been conducted at private schools all over the country, had some interesting similarities to Werner Erhart's "est" training and its various spin-offs. Like est, the sessions aimed at a profound personality change through total immersion continuing over several days. Riley's program consisted of an opening evening session of three hours, a 12-hour session the second day, and a final four-hour session on the final day.

Unlike est, the training at Park was compulsory for all staff, faculty, and trustees. Also unlike est, Barbara Riley didn't require people who were in psychotherapy to get their therapists' permission to attend the workshops. According to Nancy Anthony: "The trainers assume that everyone is of equal psychological balance and can endure this harrowing experience. But if you've been deeply troubled by the experience, and some people were, there's no help for you when you come out. With the ones who cried, who were



AFRICAN BEAUTY: Enid Lee



broken down, the experience may have stirred up all sorts of things that had nothing to do with race but rather their personal histories." After the training, she said, "the emotional damage tends to take a couple of days to sink in." Some people said they had sleepless nights, that they were irritable, emotional more than normal. Some reported panic attacks.

Such reactions should have been no surprise, given that the whole purpose of the training was "to get in touch with your racism and why you have it." In one session, the participants were asked to draw poster-size pictures portraying the subliminal racist messages they had received in childhood. Then came the moment of maximum "attack," to use Enid Lee's favored term.

Each person had to stand in front of the group, put his picture on an easel, and explain how his childhood experience made him racist, while the others in the group, led by one of Riley's facilitators, interrogated the subject about his experience. If the subject could not identify a childhood racist experience, the group continued to probe until one was uncovered. Some of the female participants broke down weeping when they were placed in this position.

An especially vulnerable spot for many people, said Nancy Anthony, was "if you had had a black nanny or cleaning lady as a child. The message was that your parents paid someone to love you, and that you never visited the nanny's home. By the time they finished with you, they got almost every one of those people to sob."

One participant said that since he was an Italian who grew up in an Irish neighborhood, his own childhood

experience of racism was being beaten up by Irish toughs. This was not exactly the kind of racist experience the facilitator had in mind, so the group kept probing. They asked him about television shows or magazines he was exposed to as a child; they asked him if he had read National Geographic (with all those photos of bare-breasted native women but no photos of bare-breasted white women). The same relentless examination was performed on everyone until a racist experience was uncovered.

There were some feeble attempts at protest. One woman denied that she could have had childhood racist experiences. "You don't understand," she told the group. "I grew up in Finland. There are no blacks in Finland." They asked her, "Have you ever thought why there are no blacks in Finland?" The group finally decided that her racist childhood experience was that she had lived in an all-white country from which blacks were intentionally excluded.

The woman became "discombobulated" at this point and didn't know what to say. The poor woman didn't seem to understand that the equation of being Finnish with being racist was not absurd at all, but simply the logical and inevitable outcome of an exercise organized around the assumption that whiteness itself is racist.

Once the personal racism of the participants had been established, the focus of the training was widened to include the racism of society as a whole. They watched films showing whites discriminating against nonwhites, and played various games, including one called "Star Power," which were designed to drive home the ubiquity of white racism and the

impossibility of a nonwhite ever succeeding in white society.

"They want you to betray the dominant group," says Nancy Anthony. "You're supposed to betray your own parents, they love it if you confess that your parents were racists."

The goal of the training was that after the participants had gone through the soul-shattering realization of their own racism, of their parents' racism, and of the presence of racism everywhere, they should be seized by evangelical fervour to take up the fight against institutional racism in their own institutions.

One trustee, after emotionally confessing his racism, offered to join the Peace Corps to atone for his sins. Since then, according to Nancy Anthony, "he has become extremely vocal on these matters at Board meetings. He accepted the trainer's views entirely."

And so another former American joins the Pod People, whose ranks are ever increasing among us. Constantly seeking new ways to appease the gods of anti-Americanism and clear themselves of their overpowering sense of racist guilt (a quest in which they can never quite succeed), these true believers will eagerly betray their nation, their people, their intellectual conscience and sense of justice, and even their own children.

There is virtually nothing that these Enlightened Ones will not do to denigrate our common civilization and give aid and comfort to the enemies who now control it. As Orwell put it in *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, they not only obey Big Brother, they love him.

1. Thomas Molnar, *The Counter-revolution* (New York: Funk & Wagnalls, 1969), p. 188.

2. Enid Lee's website, www.enidlee.com


3. "Taking Multicultural, Anti-racist Education Seriously, An Interview with Educator Enid Lee," *Rethinking Schools*, Oct/Nov 1991, p. 6.

4. "The Crisis in Education, Forging an Anti-racist Response," Enid Lee, *Rethinking Schools*, Autumn 1992, p. 4

5. Nancy Anthony, member of Park School Board, interview with Lawrence Auster, 1992.

6. Richard Bernstein, *Dictatorship of Virtue: Multiculturalism and the Battle*

CULTURE? 40,000 years of evolution



Trafalgar Club Dinner

Another great weekend with Britain's most important nationalist fund-raising body



Dinner in an upmarket country hotel, and a guided tour around the historic cathedral city of Newark, provided this year's annual weekend for more than seventy members and guests of the Trafalgar Club.

Now entering its fifth year, the 'TC', as it is known to its members, has raised scores of thousands of pounds for the British National Party. The money has been used to pay for everything from the extra organisation needed to win council by-elections to security equipment, from purchasing our own Internet service provider to buying a high-speed multiple copier for the mass production of DVDs for educational and electioneering work.

The exciting projects already lined up for more Trafalgar Club support in the coming months include:

- ☐ Extra organisational work in regions with particularly promising electoral prospects;
- ☐ A training and planning weekend for the Young Brits;
- ☐ Supplying a long wheelbase Landrover to the BNP's Department of Security for use at major events and to encourage roving activities teams to visit new areas;
- ☐ Setting up our own fully equipped recording studio;
- ☐ The first of a range of CDs of nationalist-inspired folk/folk-rock music;
- ☐ A big push in the forthcoming Stoke Mayoral election;
- ☐ A video series by our National Cultural Officer Jonathan Bowden on British culture and local history, as previewed in November's party DVD magazine, *FSID*.

Old TC friends and new members alike met up in North Nottinghamshire's Robin Hood Country over the weekend of 23rd-24th October, this being the nearest to the actual anniversary of the Battle of Trafalgar itself. The guests at the dinner were greeted by four extremely smart members of the security team, all resplendent in traditional Scottish

Lowland's tartan trews. The Grace was shared between two Trafalgar Club members, one a Catholic priest and the other a Protestant Reverend from East Anglia.

After the dinner itself TC secretary Jean handed out 'thank you' gifts - ties, badges, umbrellas and TC embroidered fleeces - to various stalwarts who had recently completed their first, second, third or fourth years of membership. Brian Galloway, BNP number 1 Euro-candidate for the South East, acting Master of Ceremonies for the evening, then introduced the party Chairman.

Mr. Griffin outlined some of the main ways in which TC money has helped to power the British National Party forward over 2004, then spoke about the almost unbelievable number and nature of the obstacles being thrown up in our path by the left-liberal Establishment and their 'right-wing' Tory allies.

Likening Blair's Britain to Zimbabwe ("a country which, to me, will always really be Rhodesia") he listed anti-democratic scandals such as electoral gerrymandering, postal ballot fraud, denial of banking facilities, intimidation, sackings and outright violence - all directed against the BNP without a word of criticism from so-called civil liberties groups or most of the media.



After the standing ovation that followed Mr. Griffin's speech, the guests retired to the bar to renew acquaintances, meet new friends and generally set the world to rights over a nightcap or three.

The following morning, most of those who had stopped the night gathered on the edge of historic Newark, to meet up with the three tour guides who had been arranged to show them some of the otherwise easily missed treasures which survive to remind us of its long and sometimes turbulent past. These range from a pub which is in fact half of perhaps the most northerly Wealden hall-house in England - originally built by a fifteenth century Kentish merchant who had married a local girl - to the hole in the church steeple made by a Parliamentary cannonball during a Civil War siege.

Next year, of course, sees the 200th Anniversary of the Battle of Trafalgar and, as this coincides with the fifth anniversary of the TC itself, we hope to see a record attendance of paid-up members at what should be a very special Trafalgar Club event. In the meantime, Kenneth Smith will continue to send out the new and more regular TC bulletin, *Victory*, and the money raised by the Club will continue to help the British National Party make the fastest progress possible in the battle to save the freedoms and identity for which heroes such as Nelson, and his men of all ranks, laid down their lives.



Excalibur


www.excaliburheritage.com

The West, Islam & Islamisation

The 'West', Islam and Islamism

Is ideological Islam
compatible with liberal
democracy?

Caroline Cox and John Marks



CIVITAS

Cox, C. &
Marks, J.
Pb, 127pp
2003

£6.50
(inc. p&p)

The conflict
between the
West and
modern
Islamism is
documented
here by
discussing the
writings of key
Islamists
including
Osama Bin
Laden.

T-Shirt: Crush Communism



£12 (inc. £2 p&p)

Available in m,l,xl, and xxl (please specify size when
ordering, otherwise a large or extra large t-shirt will be
sent automatically)

Wales Single Duvet set



Polyester/Cotton
Duvet Cover 54" x 78"
Pillow Case 19" x 29"

£24 (inc. £4 p&p)

England St George Double Duvet set



Duvet, 2 x Pillow cases
Pattern one side. Small
flag repeat on
reverse and on
pillow cases

£41 (inc. £6 p&p)

Please send all orders to PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW and make all cheques/postal orders payable to 'Excalibur'. For further enquiries please call 07919 384 924, or visit our website www.excaliburheritage.com

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 ☐ £30 ☐

Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ £33 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 ☐ £48 ☐

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐ £50 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50